PAGE 02/06

## CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby cartify that this correspondence is being transmitted to Group Art Unit 1756, 703-872-9310, addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: April 2, 2003

PATENT 36856,527

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yasuhiro NAKATA et al.

Serial No.: 09/903,792

Filed: July 12, 2001

Title: CONDUCTOR PATTERN AND

**ELECTRONIC COMPONENT HAVING** 

THE SAME

Art Unit: 1756

Examiner: K. Sagar

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

above-identified application in view of the following remarks.

In response to the Office Action dated February 5, 2003, please reconsider the identified application in view of the following remarks.

Naims 1-20 are pending in this application.

oplicants greatly appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 2 5 7 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten in independing the base claim and application. 15 and 17-20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the features of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5, 10 and 11-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for allegedly being indefinite. Applicants respectfully disagree.

The Examiner alleged that the recitation, in claims 5, 10, 15 and 20, of the conductor pattern being "made of photosensitive paste" is vague and indefinite because the conductor film is metallic but is fabricated from a photosensitive paste, and thus, "the composition of the conductor pattern is ambiguous and unclear". This is clearly